Mammography Screening Decision Aid



Karen B. Eden, PhD, Professor, OHSU James Case, MBI, Mongoose Projects, Inc Mark Remiker, MA, OHSU









Current Screening Guidelines

Recommending organizations

*World Health Organization, 2014

Canadian Cancer Society, accessed 2016 Oct. 17

> American Cancer Society, 2015

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, 2016

Australia, 2015



From Ivlev, et al. Submitted

Patient Decision Aids

 Patient decision aids are tools that help people become involved in decision making by providing information about the options and outcomes and by clarifying personal values. They are designed to complement, rather than replace, counseling from a health practitioner.

International Patient Decision Aids Standards

- The goal of the IPDAS Collaboration is to establish an internationally approved set of criteria to determine the quality of patient decision aids.
- This tool was developed using IPDAS.
- http://decisionaid.ohri.ca/



Components of a Decision Aid

- Risk tool to identify above average and average risk women.
- Educational component
- Priority setting activity
- Customized report



Mammopad

Each woman was assessed for risk

Personal History							
Have you had breast or ovarian can							
Yes No							
Personal History							
Have you or a family member or ovarian cancer (BRCA1	for breast						
Yes No							
Perso	nal History						
Has anyo	one in your family, people related to you by blood	d, had <u>ovarian cancer</u> ?					
Yes	No						
	Personal History						
	Has anyone in your family, people relat Yes No	ed to you by blood, had <u>breast cancer</u> ?					

Bellcross CA et. al, Genet Med 2009; Bellcross CA Genet Med 2010 Georgia Breast Cancer Genomic Consortium, https://www.breastcancergenescreen.org/

The second secon

Mammopad

The aid encourages a shared approach





Mammopad

The aid provides information on risk and types of cancer.







Mammopad

The aid uses graphics to inform patients



Data from Nelson HD, et al. 2016



Mammopad Values clarification (setting priorities)

What Matters Most to You

Please choose the one **benefit** that matters most to you in deciding whether to have **mammograms** on a regular basis in your 40s.

Peace of mind (having a mammogram that shows no cancer)

Catching cancer early (simpler treatment and reduced chance of dying)

What Matters Most to You

Please choose the one <u>harm</u> that matters most to you in deciding whether to have <u>mammograms</u> on a regular basis in your 40s.

Extra tests and worry from false alarms (having a mammogram that finds something that isn't cancer)

No improvement in length or quality of life, and unnecessary diagnosis (being diagnosed and treated for cancer that might not be harmful)

What Matters Most to You

Of the one **benefit** and one **harm** that you just chose, please select which one matters most to you in deciding whether to have **mammograms** on a regular basis in your 40s

Catching cancer early (simpler treatment and reduced chance of dying)

No improvement in length or quality of life, and unnecessary diagnosis (being diagnosed and treated for cancer that might not be harmful)



Mammopad

This aid provides customized feedback

First Questions	Breast Cancer	Mammograms	My Choice	Last Questions
leport				
elow is a perso our email addre	nalized report these on the next p	nat you can take to yo bage if you'd like it er	our next appointm nailed to you.	nent. Please enter
My N What matters most	lammography L to me in deciding whe basis	Decision Summary n to start mammograms on a s	ı regular	
Bring this summary with you w Note to Provider: This is a person about your patient's values and con	hen you talk with a doctor. It will help alized report from an interactive, eviden terms about breast cancer screening.	you and a doctor or murse decide which choice is ice-based mammography decision aid. It contains i	best for you. Information	
Be: What matters most to m	nefits and Harms of e: Catching cancer early (si	Starting in my 40s	ce of dying)	
Possible Be	nefits P	Possible Harms	1	
 Peace of Catching treatment dying) 	mind • E cancer early (simpler al and reduced chance of • N o	htra tests and worry from false farms To improvement in length or quality I life and unnecessary diagnosis		
Cameroher was don't have to have	a doctor to get a maximorram and the	re we law cost and free options if you don't have		

My Mammography Decision Summary

What matters most to me in deciding when to start mammograms on a regular basis

Bring this summary with you when you talk with a doctor. It will help you and a doctor or nurse decide which choice is best for you.

Note to Provider: This is a personalized report from an interactive, evidence-based mammography decision aid. It contains information about your patient's values and concerns about breast cancer screening.

Benefits and Harms of Starting in my 40s

What matters most to me: Catching cancer early (simpler treatment and reduced chance of dying)

Possible Benefits	
-------------------	--

Possible Harms

- Peace of mind
- Catching cancer early (simpler treatment and reduced chance of dying)
- Extra tests and worry from false alarms
- No improvement in length or quality of life and unnecessary diagnosis

Remember, you don't have to have a doctor to get a mammogram, and there are low-cost and free options if you don't have insurance. Please see the research coordinator or dial **211** from any phone for more information.

My Concerns About Mammograms

I am most concerned about: the cost of mammograms, follow-up care, or treatment, not being able to have a mammogram because I do not have a doctor

My Questions

- I would like to know more about my risk according to my family history of cancer.
- I would like to know more about my risk according to my own personal health history (e.g. birth control pills).
- I would like to know if it is best to have a mammogram every year or every two years.
- what additional tests are needed if the mammogram is positive

My Plan

I plan to have a mammogram before age 50.

Before After Study

- Recruited 75 women from rural clinics (through ORPRN) to use decision aid on iPad Minis.
- Asked intention for screening, self-efficacy and questions about decisional conflict before and after using the aid.

Before After Study Decisional Conflict Scale

- The decisional conflict scale measures perceptions of uncertainty about options. A total conflict score is computed.
- The subscales are:
 - 1. Informed
 - 2. Supported
 - 3. Clear Values
 - 4. Certain

Before After Study Aid reduced decisional conflict

 After using Mammopad, women reported reduced overall decisional conflict (mean before 46.33 versus after 8.33; Z=-7.225; p<0.001) and reported reduction on all subscales (p<0.001).

Eden KB, Scariati P, . . . , Nelson HD. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2015 Sep 11. [Epub ahead of print].

References Evaluations of Aid

- Eden KB, Scariati P, Klein K, Watson L, Remiker M, Hribar M, Forro V, Michaels L, Nelson HD. Mammography decision aid reduces decisional conflict for women in their forties considering screening. *J Womens Health*. 2015;24(12):1013-1020.
- Scariati P, Nelson L. Watson L, Bedrick S, and Eden KB. Impact of a decision aid on reducing uncertainty: Pilot study women in their 40s and screening mammography. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*. 2015;15:89.

References Breast Cancer Risk Screening

- Bellcross CA, Lemke AA, Pape LS, Tess AL, Meisner LT. Evaluation of a breast/ovarian cancer genetics referral screening tool in a mammography population. *Genet Med.* 2009;11:783–9.
- Bellcross C. Further development and evaluation of a breast/ovarian cancer genetics referral screening tool. *Genet Med.* 2010;12:240–240.
- Georgia Breast Cancer Genomic Consortium. Breast Cancer Genetics Referral Screening Tool (B-RST). https://www.breastcancergenescreen.org/.

References Screening Recommendations

- Siu A, U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for breast cancer: USPSTF recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med*. 2016;164:279-296.
- Nelson HD, Fu R, Cantor A, Pappas M, Daegas M, Humphrey L. Effectiveness of breast cancer screening: systematic review and metaanalysis to update the 2009 USPSTF recommendation. *Ann Intern Med*. 2016;164:244-255.
- Nelson HD, Pappas M, Cantor A, Griffin J, Daegas M, Humphrey L. Harms of breast cancer screening: systematic review to update the 2009 USPSTF recommendation. *Ann Intern Med*. 2016;164:256-267
- Offinger KC et. al. Breast cancer screening for women at average risk 2015 guideline Uupdate from the American Cancer Society. *JAMA*. 2015;314(15):1599-1614.

Overview of Implementation

- 3 small rural clinics
 - 3 PCPs/clinic
 - EMR: Greenway (2), NextGen (1)
- Two stages of implementation:
 - Locating eligible patients
 - Administering the DA



Columbia-Willamette Northeast Oregon Cascades East Oregon Pacific ★ORPRN Office ♦ Member Clinic • Research in non-member practice

Overview of Implementation Stage 1: Locating Eligible Patients

- Eligibility criteria:
 - Women
 - Age 40-49
 - No mammography during the previous year
 - No high risk factors
 - Family Hx
 - Previous biopsy
 - Current symptoms
 - Genetic marker (e.g., BRCA1)
 - Hx of repeated radiation to the chest
 - Ashkenazi Jewish Heritage



Overview of Implementation

Stage 2: Decision Aid Administration



Overview of Implementation Barriers for Clinics

- Sustainable workflow
- Internet access
- DA documentation
- Prompt for PCP to engage patient

Overview of Implementation Benefits of Using Mammopad

- Ease of use/convenience
- Increased access
- Portable
- Privacy
- Future uses
- Identification of 16 high risk women
- Visuals helped with communication
- CPC milestone 7



1 out of **70** women between the ages of **40-49** will develop breast cancer



Technology Network Diagram



Technology Platform

- HTML5/Javascript/PHP/MySQL stack
- jQueryMobile for mobile responsiveness
- Text-to-speech (TTS) <u>www.voicerss.org</u>
- Admin console for basic management
- Excel import for content and CMS capable

Technology Admin Console

Basic configurations

Administration

Exit

	User data access – raw data and report								
						Admi	inistration	E	xit
	Co	nfiguration				Pa	rticipants	Data Export	
3 Filter i	items								
							Participant PDF Report		
Particip	ants								
9	Done	C	In Progress	O	Not Started		PDF		
O 500							Participant Data		
Status: In F	Progress					Θ	userld	2133	
Date Starte	ed: 2014-05-08 10:51	1:26					userState	returnuser-new	
2133 Status: Doi Progress: 1 Date Starte	3 ne thank_you ed: 2014-01-24 16:51	1:58					RESULT_BENEFIT_HARM_SELECTED	No improvement in length or quality of life, and unnecessary 0 diagnosis (being diagnosed and treated for cancer that might not be harmful)	
o 1134 Status: In F Progress: s	1 Progress screen_family					Ø	RESULT_CONCERN_SELECTED	I am concerned about the cost of mammograms, follow-up care, or treatment, I am concerned about being exposed to radiation from mammograms	
Date Starte 1133 Status: Doi Progress: t Date Starte	ed: 2014-01-24 15:45 ne thank_you ed: 2014-01-24 15:25	5:56				Ø	RESULT_QUEST_SELECTED	I would like to know Môfe about my risk according to my family history of cancer. I would like to know more about my risk according to my own personal health history (e.g. birth control pills), I would like to know more about breast density (hickness of breast tissue).	
							date_started	2014-1-24 16:21:00	
Status: Doi	ne					0	CNS_CONSENT	1	
Progress: 1	thank_you ed: 2014-01-24 12:27	7:12				~	SCRN_CANCER	0	
Date Otalite	00.2014-01-24 12.2/						SCRN_RADIATION	0	
1130							SCRN_GENE	0	
Status: Do	ne					0	SCRN_DISEASE	2	
Progress: t Date Starte	thank_you ed: 2014-01-24 11:31	:46					SCRN BIODSY RESULTS	2	
							SCRN ASHKENAZI	0	
1129)						SCRN FAMILY O	0	
Status: Do	ne					0	SCRN MOTHER O		
Progress: 1	mank vou						Contra montalet o		

comgutation	i aiuci	pants	
Options		Report Ema	ail
Participant Password	Ø		
Admin Password	Θ	Save	
Email Report Addresses	Ø		
Add Email Report Address			

Questions?

Karen Eden

edenk@ohsu.edu

James Case

james.case@mongooseprojects.com

Mark Remiker

remiker@ohsu.edu

